

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,217	10/13/2000	Yoshiaki Tomotake	2000-1428A	3623
75	90 01/18/2002			
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack Suite 800 2033 K Street NW			EXAMINER	
			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
Washington, DO	20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	11
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. In claims 1-4, the term "characterized" is indefinite.
 - b. In claim 3, "Bristow's method" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102(b)

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated over Cessna (U.S. 5,853,901).

Art Unit: 1774

5. Cessna discloses paper for ink jet printing (column 6, lines 33-35) where mercerized pulp is used for the fiber material (column 7, lines 21-28).

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cessna (U.S. 5,853,901).
- 8. Cessna discloses paper for ink jet printing (column 6, lines 33-35) where mercerized pulp is used for the fiber material (column 7, lines 21-28). Cessna does not disclose the liquid transfer length in the Bristow method as being 100 mm or less. The determination of the liquid transfer length by Bristow's method is according to J. Tappi No. 51-87 is a product by process limitation. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966. Although Cessna does not explicitly disclose the weight percentage of the mercerized pulp,

Art Unit: 1774

weight percentage is optimizable. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the components because discovering optimum or workable ranges is only routine skill in the art.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102(b)

- 9. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated over WO 99/0041.
- 10. WO '041 teaches ink jet printable paper with fibers (page 1, lines 9-27) with 0 to about 70 percent by weight of mercerized fibers (page 1, lines 36-37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

- 11. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/0041.
- 12. WO '041 teaches ink jet printable paper with fibers (page 1, lines 9-27) with 0 to about 70 percent by weight of mercerized fibers (page 1, lines 36-37). WO '041 does not disclose the liquid transfer length in the Bristow method as being 100 mm or less. The determination of the liquid transfer length by Bristow's method is according to J. Tappi No. 51-87 is a product by process limitation. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966.

Art Unit: 1774

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM – 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner Art Unit 1774 CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700